47. Mr. K. T. Mathew.—Will the Government be pleased to mention:—

(a) the names of the Panchayats which are permitted to hold the Panchayat meetings outside the Panchayat area ;

(b) what is the reason to do so in each case ;

(c) (i) whether the Departmental Head had advised the above Panchayats to make arrangements for holding their Panchayat meetings in their areas; (ii) if the answer is in the affirmative, whether copies of such communications will be placed on the Table; and (iii) if the answer to the above is in the negative, what is the reason for not advising to do so ?

Mr. I. Raman Menon.—(a) and (b). Vide answer to question No. 46 (b) and (c).

(c) (i). Yes. (ii) Government consider that no public purpose will be served by placing the correspondence on the Table. (iii) No answer is necessary.

Petition for the construction of a new culvert.

48. Mr. K. T. Mathew.—Will the Government be pleased to state whether the request contained in the petition dated 26th Vrischigam from Mr. Thazhath Veettil Kunjikrishnan Nayar and others has been sanctioned; and if not, why has it not been sanctioned?

Mr. V. K. Aravindaksha Menon.—No. For reasons given in the Diwan's Memo (Public Works Department) P. No. 43/04, dated 30th May 1929, issued to the petitioners through Mr. K. T. Mathew B. A and B. L., M. L. C., copy of which is appended. (*Vide Appendix IV.*)

Pazhanji Akkikkavu road.

49. Mr. K. T. Mathew.—Will the Government be pleased to state whether the Pazhanji-Akkikkavu road has been completely repaired; and if not, whether this will be completed before the end of the current year?

Mr. V. K. Aravindaksha Menon.—No. The work is in progress and is expected to be completed before the end of the current year.

Lack of accommodation in the Pazhayannur Dispensary.

50. Mr. T. K. Nayar.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

whether they are aware of the fact that, owing to the lack of bedding accommodation, the out-patients of Pazhayannur Dispensary are put to very much inconvenience ?

Diwan Bahadur Dr. V. Verghese.—Out-patients attending hospitals or dispensaries are not provided with bedding accommodation. Bedding accommodation is only for in-patients and not for out-patients. However, in Pazhayannur as there are

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

APPENDIX IV.

(Vide answer to question No. 48.)

P. No. 43/04. Office of the Diwan of Cochin,
Public Works Department,
30th May 1929.

MEMO.

Reference:—Petition dated 26th Vrischigam 1104 from Mr. Thazhath Veettil Kunhikrishnan Nayar and others forwarded by Mr. K. T. Mathew, B. A., and B. L., M. L. C.

The petitioners are informed that the existing culvert No. 14 will serve the purpose contemplated by them and that the construction of the culvert requested by them cannot be sanctioned.

(By order)
(Sd). Secretary to the Diwan.

To

The Petitioners (through Mr. K. T. Mathew).

Point of order re. the rejection of cut motions.

President.—We had some discussions about these cut motions. I do not want to go into the question whether the President has got the right to disallow them. I just want to know whether it is difficult for you to note the reasons on the back. You may note what the object is, so that we might be enlightened. If I direct the return of all these papers, can you kindly note them on the back of them? I do not want to disallow them.

Mr. A. B. Salem.—I had no time. I shall certainly do it.

President.—You have no objection, Mr. Mathew?

Mr. K. T. Mathew.—No. We were following that.

President.—For proper discussion the information is necessary; otherwise the official Members will be at a disadvantage. Your agruments may be a surprise to them, and it is not proper for them to ask for time to enlighten you on the points. Whenever such cut motions are received without noting the reasons, please return them for supplying the omission.

Mr. T. K. Krishna Menon.—I shall do so. But it would be far better if, as required, they are noted before they are sent in.

General Discussion of the Budget.

Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar.—Mr. President, Sir, I consider it my first and foremost duty to offer my respectful congratulations to His Highness Rama Varmha Tampuran for the spirit which he has displayed in accepting his present appointment. Personally, as a loyal subject of His Highness the Maharaja and His Family, I am not at all in favour of a member of the Royal Family accepting service under His Highness' Government, my reason being that the subjects of His Highness would be placed in a very awkward and delicate position in their dealings with their Royal officer. We are accustomed to worship and venerat

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly